

Cost Benefit Analysis of Laboratory Directed Chemotherapy for Advanced Pancreatic Cancer in the US and Brazilian patients.

Meeting:

2015 ASCO Annual Meeting

Abstract No:

E17782

Citation:

J Clin Oncol 33, 2015 (abst e17782)

Author(s):

Robert Alan Nagourney, Renee Claudio Gansl, Gilberto Lopes, Antonio C. Buzaid, Fernando C. Maluf, Paulo D'Amora, Nise Hitomi Yamaguchi, Fabricio Colacino Silva, Andre Nebel De Mello; Rational Therapeutics, Long Beach, CA; Centro Paulista de Oncologia, Sao Paulo, Brazil; John Hopkins Singapore International Medical Centre, Singapore, Singapore; Centro Oncologico Antonio Ermirio de Moraes, Sao Paulo, Brazil; The Federal University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil; Instituto Avancos Em Medicina, Sao Paulo, Brazil; Hospital de Cancer Alfredo Abrao, Campo Grande, Brazil; Hospital Israelita Albert Einstein, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

Abstract:

Background: Precision medicine offers improved response rates (RR) & cost containment. Pancreatic cancer (PC) with Stage IV 5-yr survival of 1-2% is ideal for strategies that pre-select responsive patients (pts) yet actionable molecular targets are few. Phenotypic assays, with the capacity to examine cytotoxic & targeted agents, the subject of prior meta-analysis (Apfel, Proc. ASCO, 2013), provided a 2.04 fold improvement in (RR) ($p < 0.001$) & 1.44 fold improvement in 1-yr survival ($p = 0.02$) in 2581 pts. **Methods:** We applied Ex Vivo Analysis of Programmed Cell Death (EVA-PCD) (Nagourney, Anticancer Res, 2012) in 23 US & Brazilian pt. tumors to identify the most active drugs, then used literature (RR) of standard PC regimens & hospital pharmacy charges/2 cycles (\$ or \$R/mg) @ 1.7 m² (BSA) for predictions. **Results:** Drug selection frequency (N/%), RR (post-test) & cost/2-cycles reveal: CDDP + Gemcitabine 4/23 (17.9%); RR = 40.8%; \$534/R\$5748; FOLFIRINOX 8/23 (37.7%) RR = 63.4%, \$2988/R\$27,592; GTX 2/23 (8.6%) RR = 40.8%; \$6538/R\$31,776; nab-Paclitaxel + Gemcitabine 3(13%) RR = 46.9%, \$13,480/\$R239,548; CDDP + Gemcitabine + Capecitabine 4/23 (17.9%) RR = 40.8% \$2838/R\$31,948; 5-FU 2(8.6%) RR = 20% \$306/R\$10,448. Costs include EVA-PCD @ \$4000/pt (\$92,000/23) but no growth factors, anti-emetics, infusion pumps or hospital stays. As nab-Paclitaxel use in Brazil is off label, skewing costs, the cost/response analyses use only US \$ in final comparisons. Weighted RR for EVA-PCD selected drugs = 47.6% at aggregate 2-cycle costs for all 23 (+EVA-PCD) = \$184,096 (\$16,736/response, compared with FOLFIRINOX, RR = 31% aggregate 2-cycle cost (\$68,724 (\$9817/response) and nab-Paclitaxel + Gemcitabine, RR = 23%, aggregate 2-cycle cost \$310,040 (\$62,008/response). **Conclusions:** Clinically validated drug selection methods can provide superior RR in PC at comparable or lower costs/response. As a non-recurring cost, EVA-PCD savings improve over successive cycles. Including growth factors & toxicity of intensive regimens enhances EVA-PCD savings. Finally phenotypic platforms like EVA-PCD have the potential to improve pt. selection, contain costs, reduce futile care & streamline drug discovery.